****

**TREVELYAN COLLEGE JCR MINUTES**

JCR Executive Committee Meeting 2019

9th December 2019, 6:30PM

**CONTENTS**

**Contents**

**Absent**

**Individual reports**

**SU**

**Re-freshers week**

**Winter Ball Review**

**President**

**JCR Dinner review**

**Formal priorities**

**Event Durham Volunteering**

**Gaps in Provision – General and Practical for Exec Mentoring.**

**Any Other Business**

**Action Points** are in red throughout the text and are listed at the end.

**ABSENT**

Jarren Santos **Welfare Officer**

Alice Cooper **Buttery Chair**

**INDIVIDUAL REPORTS**

**Jacob**: Some new position holders – we have Steven as Treasurer, Jack as Publicity, Delilah as Jarren and Holly as Buttery Chair.

**Kirsty**: I’ve bought some things for the Upper JCR; I’m going to tidy it after this meeting.

**Cat**: I’ve sent out a weekly email – I’m also in the middle of a meet the Tier 1s and 2s Instagram.

**Danielle**: I’ve had formals, I’m still going on the Instagram! Please other people do it!

**Robyn**: I’ve sold lots of tickets – I’m paying people now.

**Tom**: I attended an Assembly; two motions were passed.

**Giorgio**: All the usual stuff, I went to the alumni event. I went to the SCR Christmas formal.

**Sarah**: Apparently people really liked the cocktails at Winter Ball. I’ve ordered lots of wine for Christmas formal.

 **Danielle**: Will there be mulled wine before?

 **Sarah**: There should be.

**Jess**: Winter Ball happened.

**Penny**: I had formal; I’ve organised a JCRComm meeting.

**Amy**: I’m planning Lunar New Year – I want to collab with another college. I’m also going to make a post about transportation to airports.

**RE-FRESHERS WEEK**

**Giorgio:** We gave £1000 towards it from Trexit money, college are giving £1500 towards it. They’re offering support to us. There is a timetable, but I think we have to change it a little. We’re only doing 3 events as a JCR; college are doing the rest.

**WINTER BALL REVIEW**

**Robyn:** The ball was great – everyone had a great time.

 **Penny:** The freshers were really impressed with the ball.

 **Robyn:** Because the cobbles was busy – it made it really nice.

 **Amy:** The ticket thing was an issue.

**Danielle:** Lots of people didn’t buy tickets and got the free stuff. It’s really difficult to check everyone’s wristbands.

**Sarah:** Would it be possible to employ students to do this?

**Giorgio:** We can only do external security – it’s a University policy.

**Penny:** If we need more people to work events, we could suggest giving it to Tier 2s?

**Giorgio:** It comes down to bias again.

**Amy:** Could we pair them with a Tier 1? I can advertise it to the International Events Committee – just so that they can be extra hands, they seem really keen as well.

**\*Exec discuss who gets free entry to balls\***

**\*Exec discuss wristband checking and agree in favour of consistency\***

**PRESIDENT**

**Giorgio:** Apparently this happened in the MCR about 5 years ago.

**Jacob:** There’s nothing about the standing orders about what we do with this.

**Giorgio:** If it’s unfilled, I carry on. To avoid this, we would have to do another vote. If nobody runs, at that point we’ll be doing the SabbPres referendum – we’ll move it a year forward. This would have to end up being a motion. It’ll at least be democratic.

**Jacob:** We could have a JCR meeting the second Sunday of term, proposing that motion and effectively say that if the incoming President wants to be a SabbPres then they can. It would just open it out to any third years.

**Giorgio:** I think we should run it as a normal election first – we haven’t even voted in SabbPres yet.

**Jacob:** It’s unlikely that we’ll get a different candidate – how many people haven’t signed for a house for next year yet?

**Danielle:** It also gives any candidates more time to think about it more.

**Robyn:** How would this work with the 2/3 rule?

**JCR DINNER REVIEW**

**Penny:** I think we need to define what the rules are more. People aren’t sure on it.

 **Robyn:** I had a couple of people wondering whether they could leave and come back. It was a success – I thought it was quite calm.

 **Danielle:** It’s us having the same consistency.

**FORMAL PRIORITIES**

**Danielle:** It’s about the fact that people can’t get into formal if they’re friends with different groups of people. The issue is with non-finalists with finalists.

 **Jacob:** I’m doing a fourth year and my group just applied as two groups.

 **Danielle:** The problem is that there are people who don’t get into formals because they can’t apply as two groups. At the moment, the system works – then, people who are on fourth years get into every formal for two years and it wouldn’t be fair.

 **Jess:** Could you put fourth years as non-finalists?

 **Jacob:** But the concept is that then you wouldn’t get into the big formals in your last year in Durham.

 **Danielle:** The formal priority does change – the problem is that a group of 5 wouldn’t have any priority.

 **Jacob:** Can we just change it to 60% majority?

 **Tom:** It’s not going to be fully fair – it’s worth trialling the 60% majority system.

 **Jacob:** What about having a set number of a certain priority – so that the top priority doesn’t fill out a whole formal?

**EVENT DURHAM VOLUNTEERING**

**Robyn:** What was the volunteering people have got in touch with college – I’m suggesting that we send out a list of where people can volunteer and match them up with people who want to do stuff.

 **Giorgio:** It is a University policy and we need to do it ASAP.

 **Kirsty:** I was thinking about sending out an email about it.

 **Amy:** Maybe also set up a stall at next year’s freshers fair.

 **Cat:** I can put it in the weekly email. Just spam all the social media about it.

**GAPS IN PROVISION**

**Giorgio:** Can you all name some gaps in provision so that the next exec can then know how to do it?

**\*The exec discuss gaps in provision for Handover\***

**ACTION POINTS**